INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 pandemic has been a major test for strengths and vulnerabilities of health-care systems around the world. Each succeeding wave of the COVID-19 was more lethal than the previous one leading to exacerbation of previous stressful events. The possible impact of COVID-19 on local, national and international levels on healthcare systems was significant. The continuous rise in the number of COVID-19 infections corresponded with a simultaneous increase in the incidence of COVID-19 cases among Healthcare Workers (HCWs). COVID-19 affected HCWs and ultimately impacted the healthcare system in handling the crisis.

Low middle income countries (LMIC) with less developed health systems were likely to experience more difficulties in controlling COVID-19 pandemic compared to the High-Income Countries (HIC). Evidence from HIC identified the highly infectious
nature of the virus, fear of transmitting infection to family, social isolation, stigma, lack of communication with colleagues due to strict isolation measures, confidence in organizational support as main factors influencing the behavior of HCWs during the pandemic. Studies from LMIC identified inadequate resources, fear of getting infected, poor staff welfare, inadequate logistics, misinformation, difficulty in implementing social distancing, vulnerability to contract infection, shortage of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and reduced contact with family and friends were challenges faced by HCWs.

The pandemic stressed the entire healthcare system of Pakistan and outpaced the capacity of hospitals to meet the increasing demand. In order to guide successful workplace and national responses during future healthcare emergencies, qualitative studies from previous pandemics stressed the importance of documenting the in-depth perspectives of frontline HCWs. The aim of this study was to find out the experiences of HCWs rendering services during COVID-19 pandemic.

METHOD

This qualitative study was conducted from January to May 2021 at a Tertiary care hospital designated for COVID-19 patients in Rawalpindi Pakistan. Medical Specialists, resident doctors, house officers, nurses and paramedics who provided direct care for patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection from both clinical and administrative side were included in the study. HCWs who did not have potential direct/indirect interaction with COVID-19 patients, had chronic, terminal, psychiatric illnesses and unwilling to participate in the study were excluded.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved from the Ethical Review Committee of Army Medical College, National University of Medical Sciences (ERC/ID/87) dated Nov 30, 2020.

To acquire a sample that was diverse in terms of professional capacity, degree of experience, and exposure, purposive sampling technique was used. Data gathering and analysis was concurrent with each other to determine when saturation was achieved, when no new codes and themes emerged and all identified themes were sufficiently supported by the data collected. After extensive literature review, semi structured interview guide was designed based on recommendations from a qualitative semi structured interview guide. Process of formulation of interview guide is shown in Fig.1.

The main interview questions posed to the participants were the experiences of taking care of patients with COVID-19, difference between providing care during the pandemic and routine duties, feelings in the initial phase, specific challenges encountered and how did they respond, support from administration, experience with PPE and training regarding PPE. By using designation, age and gender instead of names and removing identifying information from the transcripts confidentiality, anonymity and privacy was assured. Throughout this study, the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research guidelines were followed.

Thematic analysis which is one of the most common forms of qualitative data analysis was applied in this study. To ensure the accuracy of the information, the recording were transcribed into text within 24 hours after the interviews. During the thematic analysis process, a thorough overview of all the data was first completed by reading and rereading of the transcripts. Process of thematic analysis is illustrated in Fig.2.
### Table-I: Experiences of Healthcare Workers in COVID-19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Sub theme</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Perceptions</td>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>“Psychologically for yourself you are not that anxious, but you are afraid that you may give this disease to your family members that was a very big fear” (Resident, M, Age 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
<td>“Since it was a new disease and we didn’t not know anything about that we did not know when it would hit and how hard it would hit” (Medical Specialist, F, Age 55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social distancing</td>
<td>“As social interaction amongst colleague was decreased, everyone was afraid of contracting infection, avoided each other and kept a safe distance” (Nurse, F, Age 42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>“Workload increased a lot, with respect to resources and manpower, as many doctors and nurses got infected or on quarantine” (House Officer, F, Age 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Perceptions</td>
<td>Rewarding</td>
<td>“It is very rewarding because those patients who came to us their prognosis was good and deterioration rate was less, so we got a very positive feeling” (Resident, M, Age 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of duty</td>
<td>“The part of my profession you can’t run away from it, you have to be there and provide your services when you are needed the most” (House Officer, M, Age 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth and Learning</td>
<td>“Professionally we learned a lot and less resources are , by managing them appropriately and plan well you will always end up with success” (Medical specialist, F, Age 55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communication issues</td>
<td>“It was very difficult communicating with patient with double mask and our voice couldn’t reach patients” (Medical Specialist, F, Age 37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiences with PPE</td>
<td>Physical and Dermatological issues</td>
<td>“It was physically exhausting wearing PPE, after coming back from hospital I had rashes all over body because of wearing PPE for so long and allergy to latex in gloves” (House Officer, F, Age 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuse of PPE</td>
<td>“These washable coveralls, didn’t appear trustworthy to me as are sanitized after every use” (Nurse, F, Age 34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work Confidently with PPE</td>
<td>“Once we realized that PPE is working and most of us who wore proper PPE didn’t contract COVID-19 they were like any other patients” (House Officer, M, Age 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative support</td>
<td>“Administration addressed all of our genuine demands, nothing that we tell them about our issue, and it was not addressed” (Resident, M, Age 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiences with Administration</td>
<td>Trainings</td>
<td>“We were given training with regard to use of PPE and hand hygiene. It was hands on training given by medical officer in charge” (Paramedic, M, Age 43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Education</td>
<td>“There were info graphics and pan flex regarding proper donning and doffing and hand hygiene in each donning and doffing area” (House Officer, F, Age 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Team spirit</td>
<td>“In the end we realized okay we are all together in this, so you learn to do it as a teamwork” (Resident, M, Age 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusting cognition</td>
<td>“I just went with the flow because when you know there is no other way. You had to bear with it because this is who we are” (Resident, M, Age 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resorting to Religion</td>
<td>“I used to offer prayers, pray for this menace to end soon and pray for my children and family” (Medical specialist, F, Age 40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

In total, twenty-six in depth interviews (IDIs) were conducted after taking informed consent as thematic saturation had been reached. Study sample consisted of medical specialists n=4(15%), resident doctors n=5(19%), house officers n=6(23%), registered nurses n=8(31%) and paramedics n=3(12%). Age of participants ranged from 21 years to 55 years with average 33.2±9.39 years. Majority n=17(65%) were females and n=9(35%) males. A thorough review of themes was done to guarantee their utility and accuracy in reflecting the data, which involved actions such as segmenting, consolidating, eliminating, or creating new themes as needed. Five theme and seventeen subtheme categories emerged after through the identification of patterns among codes, while simultaneously conducting a search for data pertinent to each theme shown in Table-I.

DISCUSSION

This study explored the lived in experiences of HCWs working in COVID-19 using thematic analysis approach. Findings of this study are summarized as negative experiences, positive perceptions, experience with PPE, administrative experiences and coping strategies.

HCWs were overwhelmed with fear of catching infection and taking the virus home. These findings are congruent with a study conducted in Mexico.10 Frequent duties and long working hours resulted in increased workload which is consistent with study conducted on HCWs in the United States.11 Things were not streamlined in the early stages of the pandemic therefore HCWs were faced with uncertainty. These findings corroborate with a study conducted on physicians, nurses and other HCWs in Saudi Arabia.12 HCWs also reported the negative impact of social distancing on their lives, similar findings were reported in a study conducted in China.13 Along with negative emotions, and HCWs had positive experiences as well. They were proud of themselves for having the courage and potential to overcome challenges and found value in their COVID-19 experience in line with a scoping review conducted on wellness of HCWs during COVID-19 pandemic.14 Physical discomfort due to prolonged PPE use, skin breaches and irritation, caused HCWs to take time off.16 Many described their dissatisfaction with reuse of PPE that could be source of infection similar to a systematic review conducted by Chughtai et al.17 Wearing PPE gave HCWs a sense of protection, similar to a systematic review conducted by Chughtai et al.17

The appreciation and regard of the study participants for the support and supportive working environments which motivated staff to render duties in challenging circumstances of delivering care in the context of a pandemic in contrast to study conducted by Brophy JT et al., in Canada.19 HCWs received training regarding the use of PPE and IPC procedures which made them feel confident and prepared to deliver care which corroborates with study conducted in Singapore.20 HCWs also counted on peer support and self-adjustment skills to cope with the psychological issues in agreement with a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Siboni J. et al21 HCWs regarded religion as an important support in maintaining psychological well-being in line with study conducted in Malaysia.22 The findings of this study point to a progression from initial confusion and anxiety at the start to a focus on preparation, and then reap the consequences of that preparedness and professionalism Evidence from Austria supported similar findings.23 The majority of HCWs reported religiously following preventive measures while at work and after duty hours and social isolation. A qualitative review conducted by Houghton C et al documented similar results.24 Although few studies looked into HCWs mental health issues and factors contributing to stress and anxiety, but all those studies were conducted online.25 In this study, interviews were conducted in person and nonverbal cues such as facial expressions, eye contact and body movements, which is the strength of this study.

Limitations of the Study: Although this study provided some interesting insights it was not without limitations. This was a study spanned over period of few months. Long-term research topic experience will be a worthwhile path to pursue in the future.

CONCLUSION

This research offered a detailed and in-depth understanding of HCWs’ psychological experiences during COVID-19 pandemic. Negative emotions predominated in the beginning, positive emotions emerged gradually. Proper PPE and provision of administrative support was highlighted as a necessary component. HCWs’ mental health was maintained in part by their self-coping styles and psychological development. The findings of this study can be employed to inform and enhance future pandemic response initiatives.
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